

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,968	10/02/2003	Kikuo Yamaguchi	402808	6292
23548 7	590 12/10/2004		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			LERNER, AVRAHAM H	
700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	10/675,968	YAMAGUCHI, KIKUO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Avraham Lerner	3611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH((S) FROM	
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 5 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.3,4 and 6-8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 September 2004</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive Unity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Amarkan antick			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The substitute drawings were received on September 3, 2004. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: each is dependent on claim 2, which has been canceled. Although the claims may be withdrawn from consideration for being of improper dependency, as best understood the examiner will treat claim 3 as depending from claim 1, and claim 8 as depending from claim 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the first opening" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The subject matter recited in claim 6 is entirely unclear in scope and structure and can not be treated on its merits in that there is no antecedent basis for the elements, and further because applicant's identification of the first, second, and third prongs is inconsistent.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,968

Art Unit: 3611

6. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the first base" in lines 1-2, and "the second base" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Page 3

7. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the first base" in lines 1-2, and "the second base" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mortimer (U.S. Patent No. 6,099,012).

Mortimer discloses an apparatus having all elements as broadly claimed, including a first prong (vertical section labeled 58 in Fig. 3) capable of retaining a plate spring, and second and third prongs (the two vertical side sections of horizontal channel 62), the apparatus having a first plate (58) having the first prong and a second plate (horizontal channel) having the second and third prongs, the first and second plates being connected to each other (by diagonal channel 60), and are also transverse to each other, Note that regarding the broad language that the attachment is "for insertion" or "for retaining a plate spring", it is noted that "the absence of a disclosure relating to function does not defeat the finding of anticipation. It is well settled that the recitation of a new intended use for an old product does not make a claim to that old product patentable."

See *In re Schreiber* (CAFC) 44 USPQ2d 1429. This functional language has not been given patentable weight because it is narrative in form. In order to be given patentable weight, a

Art Unit: 3611

functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 U.S.C. 112, 6th paragraph, and must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. See *In re Fuller*, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 5 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed September 3, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant solely argues that the application describes an attachment for a towing apparatus, and that Mortimer does not describe or suggest the vehicle towing apparatus defined by the claims. In response, it is noted (as written above and in the previous Office action) that the vehicle and associated structure is not positively recited, but is merely an intended use for the claimed attachment. Mortimer teaches all of the positively recited structure as claimed, and in order to meet the criteria of anticipation of functional limitations, merely requires the ability to so perform. Mortimer therefore does anticipate all of applicant's claimed limitations, and the rejection is sustained.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/675,968 Page 5

Art Unit: 3611

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Avraham Lerner whose telephone number is (703) 308-0423. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:15-5:45) first Wednesday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A fum 12/6/04

December 6, 2004